arXiv:1406.5883v2 [gr-qc] 26 Aug 2014

New approach to study gravitational stability of the solutions to the Einstein equations

Janusz Garecki*
Institute of Mathematics University of Szczecin and Cosmology Group
University of Szczecin, Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland
(Dated: August 27, 2014)

Here we propose a new method to study gravitational stability of the solutions to the Einstein equations. This method uses the canonical superenergy tensors which have been introduced in past in our papers and is very alike to the procedure of finding the stable minima of the interior energy U for a thermodynamical system.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Me.0430.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

In the paper we propose a new approach to study gravitational stability of a solution to the Einstein equations. This approach uses the canonical superenergy tensors which were introduced into general relativity in our papers [3]. Namely, we assert that when the total superenergy density, matter and gravitation, ϵ_s, is non-negative, i.e., when $\epsilon_s \geq 0$, then the solution can be stable under small metric perturbation. Contrary, when ϵ_s is negative-definite, i.e., when $\epsilon_s < 0$, then the solution cannot be gravitationally stable.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we remind problems with local energy-momentum in general relativity and our proposition to avoid them – the canonical superenergy tensors.

In Section III we give examples of an intriguing correlation between gravitational stability of the very known solutions to the Einstein equations and sign of the total canonical superenergy density, ϵ_s, for them. We claim there that these exciting correlations are consequences of the Proposition, which we have formulated and proved in this Section. From this Proposition there follows the our above mentioned statement concerning stability.

Finally, the short Section IV contains our final remarks.

In Appendix we present in more details some results of the last our calculations.

In the paper we use the same signature and notation as used in the last editions of the famous book by Landau and Lifshitz [7].

The Λ term which we consider in Section III and in Appendix we treat as source term in Einstein equations, i.e., as energy-momentum tensor of the form ${}_{\Lambda}T_i{}^k = (-)\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\delta_i^k$.

II. THE CANONICAL SUPERENERGY TENSORS

In the framework of general relativity (**GR**), as a consequence of the Einstein Equivalence Principle (**EEP**), the gravitational field *has non-tensorial strengths* $\Gamma^i_{kl} = \{^i_{kl}\}$ and *admits no energy-momentum tensor*. One can only attribute to this field *gravitational energy-momentum pseudotensors*. The leading object of such a kind is the *canonical gravitational energy-momentum pseodotensor* ${}_Et_i{}^k$ proposed already in past by Einstein. This pseudotensor is a part of the *canonical energy-momentum complex* ${}_EK_i{}^k$ in **GR**.

The canonical complex ${}_EK_i{}^k$ can be easily obtained by rewiriting Einstein equations to the superpotential form

$${}_EK_i{}^k := \sqrt{|g|}\big(T_i{}^k + {}_E\,t_i{}^k\big) = {}_F\,U_i{}^{[kl]}{}_{,l} \tag{1}$$

where $T^{ik} = T^{ki}$ is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor for matter, $g = det[g_{ik}]$, and

$${}_Et_i{}^k \;=\; \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\big\{\delta_i^k g^{ms}\big(\Gamma^l_{mr}\Gamma^r_{sl} - \Gamma^r_{ms}\Gamma^l_{rl}\big)$$

*Electronic address: garecki@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl

$$+\ g^{ms}{}_{,i}\big[\Gamma^k_{ms}-\frac{1}{2}\big(\Gamma^k_{tp}g^{tp}-\Gamma^l_{tl}g^{kt}\big)g_{ms}$$
$$-\ \frac{1}{2}\big(\delta^k_s\Gamma^l_{ml}+\delta^k_m\Gamma^l_{sl}\big)\big]\big\};$$

$${}_F U_i{}^{[kl]}=\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}g_{ia}(\sqrt{|g|})^{(-1)}\big[\big(-g\big)\big(g^{ka}g^{lb}-g^{la}g^{kb}\big)\big]_{,b}. \tag{2}$$

${}_E t_i{}^k$ are components of the canonical energy-momentum pseudotensor for gravitational field $\Gamma^i_{kl}=\left\{{i \atop kl}\right\}$, and ${}_F U_i{}^{[kl]}$ are von Freud superpotentials.

$${}_E K_i{}^k=\sqrt{|g|}\big(T_i{}^k+{}_E\, t_i{}^k\big) \tag{3}$$

are components of the *Einstein canonical energy-momentu complex, for matter and gravity*, in **GR**.

In consequence of (1) the complex ${}_E K_i{}^k$satisfies local conservation laws

$${}_E K_i{}^k{}_{,k}\equiv 0. \tag{4}$$

In very special cases one can obtain from these local conservation laws the reasonable integral conservation laws.

Despite that one can easily introduce in **GR** *the canonical (and others) superenergy tensor* for gravitational field. This was done in past in a series of our articles (See, e.g.,[3] and references therein). It appeared that the idea of the superenergy tensors is universal: to any physical field having an energy-momentum tensor or pseudotensor one can attribute the coresponding superenergy tensor.

So, let us give a short reminder of the general, constructive definition of the superenergy tensor $S_a{}^b$ applicable to gravitational field and to any matter field. The definition uses *locally Minkowskian structure* of the spacetime in **GR** and, therefore, it fails in a spacetime with torsion, e.g., in Riemann-Cartan spacetime.

In normal Riemann coordinates **NRC(P)** we define (pointwiese)

$$S_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(P)=S_a{}^b:=(-)\lim_{\Omega\to P}\frac{\int\limits_\Omega\Big[T_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(y)-T_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(P)\Big]d\Omega}{1/2\int\limits_\Omega\sigma(P;y)d\Omega}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(y)\ &:=\ T_i{}^k(y)e^i{}_{(a)}(y)e_k{}^{(b)}(y),\\ T_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(P)\ &:=\ T_i{}^k(P)e^i{}_{(a)}(P)e_k{}^{(b)}(P)=T_a{}^b(P)\end{aligned}$$

are *physical or tetrad components* of the pseudotensor or tensor field which describes an energy-momentum distribution, and $\{y^i\}$ are normal coordinates. $e^i{}_{(a)}(y), e_k{}^{(b)}(y)$ mean an orthonormal tetrad $e^i{}_{(a)}(P)=\delta^i_a$ and its dual $e_k{}^{(a)}(P)=\delta^a_k$ paralelly propagated along geodesics through P (P is the origin of the **NRC(P)**). We have

$$e^i{}_{(a)}(y)e_i{}^{(b)}(y)=\delta^b_a. \tag{6}$$

For a sufficiently small 4-dimensional domain Ω which surrounds **P** we require

$$\int\limits_\Omega y^i d\Omega=0,\quad \int\limits_\Omega y^i y^k d\Omega=\delta^{ik}M, \tag{7}$$

where

$$M=\int\limits_\Omega(y^0)^2d\Omega=\int\limits_\Omega(y^1)^2d\Omega=\int\limits_\Omega(y^2)^2d\Omega=\int\limits_\Omega(y^3)^2d\Omega, \tag{8}$$

is a common value of the moments of inertia of the domain Ω with respect to the subspaces $y^i=0,\ \ (i=0,1,2,3)$. We can take as Ω, e.g., a sufficiently small analytic ball centered at P:

$$(y^0)^2+(y^1)^2+(y^2)^2+(y^3)^2\le R^2, \tag{9}$$

which for an auxiliary positive-definite metric

$$h^{ik} := 2v^i v^k - g^{ik}, \tag{10}$$

can be written in the form

$$h_{ik}y^i y^k \leq R^2. \tag{11}$$

A fiducial observer **O** is at rest at the beginning **P** of the used Riemann normal coordinates **NRC(P)** and its four-velocity is $v^i = *\ \delta^i_o$. $= *$ means that an equations is valid only in special coordinates.

We would like to note that we always will take $e^i{}_{(o)} = v^i = *\ \delta^i_o$.

$\sigma(P;y)$ denotes the two-point *world function* introduced in past by J.L. Synge [4]

$$\sigma(P;y) = *\frac{1}{2}\big(y^{o^2} - y^{1^2} - y^{2^2} - y^{3^2}\big). \tag{12}$$

The world function $\sigma(P;y)$ can be defined covariantly by the *eikonal-like equation* [4]

$$g^{ik}\sigma_{,i}\sigma_{,k} = 2\sigma, \quad \sigma_{,i} := \partial_i\sigma, \tag{13}$$

together with

$$\sigma(P;P) = 0, \quad \partial_i\sigma(P;P) = 0. \tag{14}$$

The ball Ω can also be given by the inequality

$$h^{ik}\sigma_{,i}\sigma_{,k} \leq R^2. \tag{15}$$

Tetrad components and normal components are equal at **P**, so, we will write the components of any quantity attached to **P** without tetrad brackets, e.g., we will write $S_a{}^b(P)$ instead of $S_{(a)}{}^{(b)}(P)$ and so on.

If $T_i{}^k(y)$ are the components of an energy-momentum tensor of matter, then we get from (5)

$${}_mS_a{}^b(P;v^l) = \big(2\hat{v}^l\hat{v}^m - \hat{g}^{lm}\big)\nabla_l\nabla_m\hat{T}_a{}^b = \hat{h}^{lm}\nabla_l\nabla_m\hat{T}_a{}^b. \tag{16}$$

Hat over a quantity denotes its value at **P**, and ∇ means covariant derivative. Tensor ${}_mS_a{}^b(P;v^l)$ is *the canonical superenergy tensor for matter*.

For gravitational field, substitution of the canonical Einstein energy-momentum pseudotensor as $T_i{}^k$ in (5) gives

$${}_gS_a{}^b(P;v^l) = \hat{h}^{lm}\hat{W}_a{}^b{}_{lm}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_a{}^b{}_{lm} &= \frac{2\alpha}{9}\big[B^b{}_{alm} + P^b{}_{alm} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\delta^b_a R^{ijk}{}_m\big(R_{ijkl} + R_{ikjl}\big) + 2\delta^b_a\beta^2 E_{(l|g}E^g{}_{|m)} \\ &- 3\beta^2 E_{a(l|}E^b{}_{|m)} + 2\beta R^b{}_{(a|g|l)}E^g{}_m\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\alpha = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} = \frac{1}{2\beta}$, and

$$E_i{}^k := T_i{}^k - \frac{1}{2}\delta^k_i T \tag{18}$$

is the modified energy-momentum tensor of matter [11].

On the other hand

$$B^b{}_{alm} := 2R^{bik}{}_{(l|}R_{aik|m)} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^b_a R^{ijk}{}_l R_{ijkm} \tag{19}$$

are the components of the *Bel-Robinson tensor* (**BRT**), while

$$P^b{}_{alm} := 2R^{bik}{}_{(l|}R_{aki|m)} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^b_a R^{jik}{}_l R_{jkim} \tag{20}$$

is the Bel-Robinson tensor with "transposed" indices (ik). Tensor ${}_gS_a{}^b(P;v^l)$ is the *canonical superenergy tensor* for gravitational field $\left\{{}^i_{kl}\right\}$. In vacuum ${}_gS_a{}^b(P;v^l)$ takes the simpler form

$$ {}_gS_a{}^b(P;v^l) = \frac{8\alpha}{9}\hat{h}^{lm}\big(\hat{C}^{bik}{}_{(l|}\hat{C}_{aik|m)} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^b_a\hat{C}^{i(kp)}{}_{(l|}\hat{C}_{ikp|m)}\big). \tag{21}$$

Here $C^a{}_{blm}$ denote components of the *Weyl tensor*.

Some remarks are in order:

1. In vacuum the quadratic form ${}_gS_a{}^bv^av_b$, where $v^av_a = 1$, is *positive-definite* giving the gravitational *superenergy density* ϵ_g for a fiducial observer **O**.

2. In general, the canonical superenergy tensors are uniquely determined only along the world line of the observer **O**. But in special cases, e.g., in Schwarzschild spacetime or in Friedman universes, when there exists a physically and geometrically distinguished four-velocity $v^i(x)$, one can introduce in an unique way the unambiguous fields ${}_gS_i{}^k(x;v^l)$ and ${}_mS_i{}^k(x;v^l)$.

3. We have proposed in our previous papers to use the tensor ${}_gS_i{}^k(P;v^l)$ as a substitute of the non-existing gravitational energy-momentum tensor.

4. It can easily seen that the superenegy densities $\epsilon_g :=_g S_i{}^kv^iv_k$, $\epsilon_m :=_m S_i{}^kv^iv_k$ for an observer **O** who has the four-velocity v^i correspond exactly to the *energy of acceleration* $\frac{1}{2}m\vec{a}\vec{a}$ which is fundamental in Appel's approach to classical mechanics [5].

In past we have used the canonical superenergy tensors ${}_gS_i{}^k$ and ${}_mS_i{}^k$ to local (and also, in some cases, to global) analysis of well-known solutions to the Einstein equations like Schwarzschild and Kerr solutions; Friedman and Goedel universes, and Kasner and Bianchi I, II universes. The obtained results were interesting (See [3]).

We have also studied the transformational rules for the canonical superenrgy tensors under conformal rescalling of the metric $g_{ik}(x)$[3, 6].

The idea of the superenrgy tensors can be extended on angular momentum also [3]. The obtained angular superenergy tensors do not depend on a radius vector and they depend only on *spinorial part* of the suitable gravitational angular momentum pseudotensor [12].

III. GRAVITATIONAL STABILITY OF THE SOLUTIONS TO THE EINSTEIN EQUATIONS AND CANONICAL SUPERENERGY DENSITY

By gravitational stability we mean stability of a background metric $\tilde{g}_{ik}(x)$ under small perturbations, see, e.g.,[7, 8]

$$ g_{ik}(x) = \tilde{g}_{ik}(x) + h_{ik}(x), \tag{22}$$

where $|h_{ik}(x)| \ll |\tilde{g}_{ik}(x)|$.

Recently we have observed an exciting correlation between the total superenergy density, $\epsilon_s := \epsilon_m + \epsilon_g$, and gravitational stability of the solutions to the Einstein equations. Namely, we have noticed that when a solution is stable, then $\epsilon_s \geq 0$, and when the solution is unstable, then $\epsilon_s < 0$.

The examples of the above mentioned correlation

1. Exterior Schwarzschild with $\Lambda = 0$ —— stable ——- $\epsilon_s > 0$:

2. Einstein static universe —- unstable —— $\epsilon_s < 0$;

3. Kerr solution with $\Lambda = 0$ ———— stable —— $\epsilon_s > 0$;

4. Standard Friedman universes with $\Lambda = 0$ ———- stable —— $\epsilon_s > 0$;

5. Exterior Reissner-Nordstroem with $\Lambda = 0$ – stable —— $\epsilon_s > 0$;

6. Minkowski spacetime ——— stable —— $\epsilon_s = 0$.

One can easily see that the above mentioned correlation follows from the Proposition.

Proposition

If the canonical total energy density ${K_0}^0(y)$ has stable minimum at P, i.e., if P is stability point of the analyzed solution, $\tilde{g}_{ik}(y)$, then $\epsilon_s(P) > 0$.

Proof. $\star$ Our proof lies on the constructive definition (5) and on the following thermodynamical fact: a stable minimum of the interior energy $U = U(S, V, N)$ is given by

$$\delta U = 0,\ \delta^2 U > 0. \tag{23}$$

We will apply the analogical conditions to the total canonical energy density, matter and gravitation, ${}_E{K_0}^0\big(g; g^{ik}; g^{ik}{}_{,l}; , g^{ik}{}_{,lm}\big)$ in **NRC(P)**.[13] Namely, we put in **NRC(P)**

$$\delta_E {K_0}^0(P) = 0, \quad \delta^2_E {K_0}^0(P) > 0 \tag{24}$$

as conditions on stable minimum of the ${}_E{K_0}^0(y)$ at the point P.

Small metric perturbations (22) do not destroy such minimum like as small variations $\delta S,\ \delta V,\ \delta N$ do not destroy a local, stable minimum of U.

So, the local minimum defined by (24) is a stable point of the considered background solution $\tilde{g}_{ik}(y)$.

It is seen from (5), (8), (12) that the sign of the superenergy density $S_a{}^b(P)v^a v_b = \star\ {S_0}^0(P)$ is determined by the sign of the integral in nominator of (5) because *(-) denominator is always positive.*

Let ${K_0}^0(y)$ has stable minimum at point P, ie., let $\delta_E {K_0}^0(P) = 0,\ \delta^2_E {K_0}^0(P) > 0$. Then the analyzed solution is stable at this point. One can see from (5),(8) and (12) that ${S_0}^0(P) =_g {S_0}^0(P) +_m {S_0}^0(P) = \epsilon_s(P) > 0$ in the case because ${K_{(0)}}^{(0)}(y) - {K_0}^0 > 0$ and the integral in nominator of (5) is positive. $\star$

From the Proposition it follows Conclusion that ${S_0}^0(P) > 0$ is *necessary condition* for gravitational stability in Ω (We write this covariantly as $\epsilon_s(P) = {S_i}^k(P)v^i v_k > 0$.) $P \in \Omega$ is a running point of Ω.

As the consequence of the Conclusion one has that if ${S_0}^0(P) < 0$ in the domain Ω (We write this covariantly as $\epsilon_s(P) = {S_i}^k(P)v^i v_k < 0$, $P \in \Omega$.), then the considered solution cannot be gravitationally stable in Ω.

The stable flat Minkowskian spacetime gives an example of a limiting case with ${S_0}^0 = \epsilon_s = 0$.

Some examples of the application of the above Conclusion

1. De Sitter spacetime —- $\epsilon_s < 0 \Longrightarrow$ The solution cannot be gravitationally stable.
2. Anti-de Sitter universe —- $\epsilon_s < 0 \Longrightarrow$ The solution cannot be gravitationally stable.
3. Bianchi I universe with $\Lambda = 0$ —- $\epsilon_s > 0 \Longrightarrow$ This solution can be gravitationally stable.
4. Kasner universe with $\Lambda = 0$ —- $\epsilon_s > 0 \Longrightarrow$ This solution also can be gravitationally stable.
5. Expanding dust Friedman universes with $k = 0,\ \ \Lambda < 0$: $\epsilon_s > 0$ for small values of the cosmic time t, and $\epsilon_s < 0$ for big values of t. It means that the solution can be stable only for small values of the cosmic time t.
6. Oscillating Friedman dust universes with $k = 0,\ \ \Lambda > 0$: $\epsilon_s > 0$ for bigger values of the scale factor $R(t)$ $\big(for\ t \in (\pi/3, \frac{5}{3}\pi)\big)$, and $\epsilon_s < 0$ for smaller values of $R(t)$ $\big(for\ t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{3}; \frac{5}{3}\pi, 2\pi)\big)$. Thus, these solutions can be stable only for bigger values of the scale factor $R(t)$;
7. Expanding dust Friedman universe with $k = (-)1,\ \ \Lambda < 0$: $\epsilon_s > 0$ for small values of the cosmic time t, and $\epsilon_s < 0$ for big values of t. So, this solution, likely as in the case 5., can be gravitationally stable only for small values of t;
8. Exterior SdS static universe with $\Lambda > 0$ and SadS static universe with $\Lambda < 0$: $\epsilon_s < 0$ for big values of the radial coordinate r, and $\epsilon_s > 0$ for small values of r. We conclude from this that these solutions can be stable only for small values of r.

Concerning more detailed information about the superenergy densities cited above —- see Apendix.

The our results concerning de Sitter and anti-de Sitter universes seem to be supported by the recent papers [1, 2, 9].

It is very interesting that following our Conclusion the gravitational stability of the considered dust Friedman models with $\Lambda \neq 0$ depends on the evolutional phase of these universes. It is sensible because $\Lambda < 0$ gives here a repulsive force which is growing with t and, therefore, should produce instability, and $\Lambda > 0$ gives an additional attractive force growing with $R(t)$ and strenthening gravitational stability.

In the first version of the paper (arXiv:1306.5121[gr-qc]) we have conjectured that $\epsilon_s > 0$ guarantees gravitational stability. Now, we see that such conjecture was incorrect because $\epsilon_s > 0$ does not ensure the local stability conditions (24). It only gives *necessary condition* of stability.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

On the *superenergy level* we have no problem with suitable tensor for gravity, e.g., one can introduce gravitational *canonical superenergy tensor*. The canonical superenergy tensors, gravitation and matter, are useful to local analysis of the solutions to the Einstein equations, especially to analyze of their singularities [3].

In this paper we have proposed a new application of these tensors to study gravitational stability of the solution to the Einstein equations.

The our proposal to study gravitational stability stability has thermodynamical origin and it is different from approaches used already: an approach based on Lyapunov's stability (connected with well-posed Cauchy problem) and dynamical system methods.

We think that this new application of the superenergy tensors can be useful.

Acknowledgments

This paper was mainly supported by Institute of Mathematics, University of Szczecin (Grant No 503-4000-230351).

V. APPENDIX

We give here the canonical superenergy densities ϵ_s for de Sitter, anti-de Sitter, static Einstein and Reissner-Nordstöm universes, for some dust Friedman universes with cosmological constantΛ and for static SdS universe. For simplicity we will use in here the *geometrized units* in which $G = c = 1$.

As it was already mentioned we use the same notation and definitions as in [7], especially, the same form of the Einstein equations without or with cosmological term, and the same form of the FLRW line element.

The Λ term we always treat as source term in Einstein equations with energy-momentum tensor of the form ${}_{\Lambda}T_i^{\ k} = (-)\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\delta_i^k$.

1. De Sitter spacetime —– $\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{28}{27}\alpha\Lambda^2 < 0$;

2. Anti-de Sitter spacetime —- $\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{32}{27}\alpha\Lambda^2 < 0$;

3. Einstein static universe —- $\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{4\alpha}{3R^4} < 0$, where $\frac{1}{R^2} = 4\pi\big(\rho + p\big) \ = \ \Lambda - 8\pi p > 0$;

4. Exterior Reissner-Nordström spacetime —-

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_s \ &= \ \frac{2\alpha}{9r^8}\big[3\big(2Q^2 - r_s r\big)^2 + 5\big(Q^2 - r_s r\big)^2 + 2\big(3Q^2 - r_s r\big)^2 \\ &+ \ 2\big(3Q^2 - r_s r\big)\big(2Q^2 - r_s r\big)\big] \\ &+ \ \frac{2Q^2}{r^8}\big(r_s r - 2Q^2\big) + \frac{12Q^2\Lambda_{RN}}{r^6}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

   The last expression is positive for $r \geq r_H = m + \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2}$, i.e., outside and on horizon H of the Reissner-Nordström black hole.

   Here $r_s := 2m, \ \ \Lambda_{RN} := 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}$, and $m^2 > Q^2, \ \alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi}, \ \beta = 8\pi$.

5. FLRW dust universes with $\Lambda \neq 0, \ k = 0$. In this case

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{32\alpha}{3}\frac{\ddot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{284}{3}\alpha\frac{\dot{R}^4}{R^4} - 124\alpha\frac{\ddot{R}\dot{R}^2}{R^3} + 12\alpha\frac{\dot{R}\dddot{R}}{R^2}. \tag{26}$$

   For $\Lambda < 0, \ k = 0$ one has the solution of the suitable Friedman equation [10]

$$R^3 = \frac{3C}{2\Lambda}\big[ch\{t(-3\Lambda)^{1/3}\} - 1\big], \ \ C = \frac{8}{3}\pi\rho R^3 = const, \tag{27}$$

   from which it follows

$$R(t) = At^{2/3} \tag{28}$$

for small t, and

$$R(t) = Be^{bt}, \tag{29}$$

for big values of t.

Here $A,\ B,\ b$ denote suitable, positive constants.

Substituting the asymptotic values of $R(t)$ given by (28) and (29) into (26), one gets

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{9248\alpha}{243t^4} > 0, \tag{30}$$

for small t, and

$$\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{20}{3}\alpha b^4 < 0, \tag{31}$$

for big values of t.

For $\Lambda > 0,\ k = 0$, one has the oscillatory solution to the Friedman equation [10]

$$R(t) = A\big(1 - cosbt\big)^{1/3}, \tag{32}$$

where

$$A = \big(\frac{3C}{2\Lambda}\big)^{1/3}, \quad b = (3\Lambda)^{1/3}, \quad bt \in [0, 2\pi]. \tag{33}$$

In this case the formula (26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_s &= \frac{32\alpha}{27}\frac{b^4\cos^2 bt}{(1-\cos bt)^2} - \frac{1148\alpha b^4}{81}\frac{\cos bt \sin^2 bt}{(1-\cos bt)^3} \\ &+ \frac{1232\alpha b^4}{243}\frac{\sin^4 bt}{(1-\cos bt)^4} - \frac{4\alpha b^4}{3}\frac{\sin^2 bt}{(1-\cos bt)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Again sign of the expression (34) depends on the evolutional phase of this universe: for bigger values of $R(t)$, i.e., for $t \in \big(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\pi\big)$, we have $\epsilon_s > 0$, and for smaller values of $R(t)$, i.e., for $t \in \big[(0, \frac{\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{5}{3}\pi, 2\pi)\big]$ we have $\epsilon_s < 0$.

6. Friedman dust universe with $\Lambda < 0,\ k = (-)1$.

One gets in the case

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_s &= \frac{32\alpha}{3}\frac{\ddot{R}^2}{R^2} - \frac{4\alpha}{3R^2} - \frac{280\alpha}{3}\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^4} \\ &+ \frac{284\alpha}{3}\frac{\dot{R}^4}{R^4} - 124\alpha\frac{\dot{R}^2\ddot{R}}{R^3} + 12\alpha\frac{\dot{R}\dddot{R}}{R^2} + 4\alpha\frac{\ddot{R}}{R^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Following Bondi [10] here we have

$$R(t) = At^{2/3} \tag{36}$$

for small values of t, and

$$R(t) = Be^{Dt}, \tag{37}$$

for big values of the cosmic time t.

Here $A,\ B,\ D$ mean suitable, positive constants.

Substituting the asymptotic values (36), (37) of the scale factor $R(t)$ into (35) one gets that

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{\alpha}{27t^4} 1,688(8) > 0, \tag{38}$$

for small values of t, and

$$\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{29\alpha}{3} D^4 < 0, \tag{39}$$

for big values of the cosmic time t.

7. Static SdS universe with $\Lambda > 0$ and static SadS universe with $\Lambda < 0$.

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_s &= \frac{12\alpha}{9r^4}\Big[\frac{8}{3}\Big(\frac{r_s}{2r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\Big)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Big(rr_s + \frac{\Lambda r^4}{3}\Big)^2\Big] \\ &+ \frac{4\alpha}{9}\Big(\frac{r_s}{r^3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big)^2 - \frac{4}{3}\alpha\Lambda^2, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $r_s = 2m$.

It is easily seen from (40) that for big values of the radial coordinate r (We leave only the terms with Λ in the case)

$$\epsilon_s = (-)\frac{20\alpha}{27}\Lambda^2 < 0, \tag{41}$$

and for small values of r (We omit here the terms with Λ)

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{8\alpha}{3}\frac{r_s}{r^6} > 0. \tag{42}$$

The total superenegy densities for the other solutions to the Einstein equations mentioned in this paper have been already given in past [3].

---

[1] D. Garfinkle, "AdS instability", *Matter of Gravity*, **39**, Winter (2012, page 7.
[2] V. Emelyanov et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D 86** (2012) 027302.
[3] J. Garecki, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **33** (1993) 57; **40** (1997) 485; **44** (1999) 95; *Int. J. of Theor. Phys.*, **34** (1995) 2259; *J. Math. Phys.*, **40** (1999) 4035; *Ann. der Phys. (Leipzig)*, **11** (2002) 441; *Ann. der Phys. (Berlin)*, **19** (2010) 263; M.P. Dąbrowski and J. Garecki, *Class.Quantum Grav.*, **19** (2002) 1; J. Garecki, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **22** (2005) 4051; *Found.of Physics*, **37** (2007) 341; M.P. Dąbrowski and J. Garecki, *Phys.Letters*, **B 686** (2010) 6.
[4] J.L. Synge, "Relativity: the General Theory", North-Holland, Amsterdam 1960.
[5] P. Appel, *Journal fuer die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **121** (1900) 310; **122** (1900) 205; G. Białkowski, "Classical Mechanics", PWN, Warsaw 1975 (in Polish).
[6] M.P. Dąbrowski, J. Garecki, and D.B. Blaschke , *Ann. der Physik (Berlin)* **18** (2009) 13.
[7] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, "Classical Theory of Fields", PWN, Warsaw 2009 (in Polish). There exists English translation.
[8] Marek Demiański, "Relativistic Astrophysics", PWN, Warsaw 1990 (in Polish). There exists English translation.
[9] P. Bizoń, "Is AdS stable?", arXiv:1312.5544 [gr-qc].
[10] H. Bondi, "Cosmology",second edition, Cambridge University Press 1961.
[11] In terms of $E_i{}^k$ Einstein equations read $R_i{}^k = \beta E_i{}^k$. If we admit Λ term then we will have $R_i{}^k = \beta E_i{}^k + \Lambda\delta_i^k$.
[12] We have used in our investigation the Bergmann-Thomson expression on angular momentum in general relativity.
[13] We use **NRC(P)**in our proof but we write the obtained results covariantly.